Preston|Gates|Ellis & Rouvelas Meeds up

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

JAN 0 5 2005

FAX COMER SHEET

Examiner Hung Nguyen

FAX NO:

703-872-9306

COMPANY:

TO:

U. S. Patent & Trademark Office **Group 2636**

CONFIRMATION NO:

571**-**272-2982

FROM:

Don J. Pelto

CLIENT-MATTER NO:

#47955-00002

DATE:

577 E 44%.603

January 5, 2005

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET: 4

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CONTACT: [X] FAX OPERATOR: (202) 661-3830

[] NAME:

FXT:

COMMENTS

hereby certify that the attached Communication is being transmitted via facsimile to the US Patent and Trademark Office on 5 January 2005, in accordance with 37 CFR §§ 1.6 and 1.8.

Faye W. Simerly

The information contained in this facsimile is confidential and may also be attorney-privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the Individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received the facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by a collect telephone call to (202) 628-1700, and return the original message to us via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.

10/611.430

Confirmation No. 1434

Applicant

Joseph T. Ambrefe, Jr.

Filed

2 July 2003

TC/Art Unit

2636

Examiner

H. Nguyen

Docket No.

47955-00002

Customer No.

23767

COMMUNICATION

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria VA 22313

Sir:

Responsive to the teleconference between the undersigned and Examiner Nguyen today, January 5, 2005, Applicant respectfully submits that the proposed amendment to claim 6, originally submitted in a fax to SPE Hofsass on September 30, 2004, and evidently entered, at least in part, by Examiner in paragraph 1 of the Office Action mailed November 24, 2004, places the present application in condition for allowance. That amendment is repeated below of the Examiner's convenience.

Indeed, Examiner Nguyen agreed that he would favorably consider the previously-submitted amendment to the claims and the present argument. Specifically, the references of record Brunctti et al., Sansone et al. and McEntee, to not teach or suggest, individually or collectively, a method as currently defined by the amended claims and specifically do not teach or suggest the claimed methods having the step of "moving said second cart to said proximate end of said scanning device so that trays in said second cart can be passed through said scanning device at said proximate end." Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the previously-submitted amendment places the present application in condition for allowance and respectfully requests withdrawal of the outstanding rejections.